

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/588,008 06/06/2000		Sam Yang	M4065.0210/P210 9015		
24998 DICKSTFIN	7590 01/12/2007 V SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER			
1825 EYE STREET NW			TRINH, HOA B		
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/588,008	YANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vikki H. Trinh	2814	

	Vikki H. Trinh	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	 ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing defined.	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off ate of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. San attached Nation of Non Co	ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 GFK 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alnon-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: hone. Claim(s) rejected: 1-31+95 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	□ will not be entered, or b) 🔀 w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	HOWAR	ND WEISS EXAMINER
	`		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks, applicants argue that lizuka does not teach the claimed invention. As stated in the previous Office Action, lizuka teaches in its entirety a system having a capacitor and other elements that read on the limitations of the present invention's claims. Furthermore, Emesh cures the deficiency in lizuka because they are in the same field of endeavors. Similarity, Alers and Narwankar cure additional deficiencies in lizuka's teaching. Thus, the combined teachings of all of the cited references enable an artisan in the art at the time of the invention to make and use the device